



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/667,097

09/18/2003

David Arnold Luick

ROC920030200US1

8313

7590

07/07/2006

Robert R. Williams  
IBM Corporation  
Dept. 917  
3605 Highway 52 North  
Rochester, MN 55901-7829

EXAMINER

MCCARTHY, CHRISTOPHER S

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2113

DATE MAILED: 07/07/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/667,097	LUICK, DAVID ARNOLD	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Christopher S. McCarthy	2113	

**-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --**

**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 September 2003.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-7, 9-15, 17 and 18 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 8 and 16 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 18 September 2003 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)                        | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)                     |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)               | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____  |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>2/27/06</u>   | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____                                    |

## DETAILED ACTION

### *Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102*

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claims 1-3, 6-7, 9-13, 17-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Akrouit et al. U.S. Patent 6,785,841

As per claim 1, Akrouit teaches a digital data processing apparatus, comprising: at least one processor, each said at least one processor executing at least one respective thread of processor-executable instructions (column 2, lines 13-15), said at least one processor collectively including: (a) a plurality of parallel pipelines, each pipeline of said plurality of parallel pipelines having the capability to perform a set of pre-defined functions on respective input data (column 3, lines 64-66; column 4, lines 40-41, wherein, each processor has a pipeline and the processors are in parallel, so the pipelines are also in parallel); and (b) control logic controlling the routing of data to said plurality of parallel pipelines, wherein said control logic, responsive to detection of a failure of a first pipeline of said plurality of parallel pipelines, causes data intended for processing by said first pipeline to be processed by a second pipeline of said plurality of parallel

Art Unit: 2113

pipelines (column 5, lines 1-5, wherein, a first processor with its respective pipeline is failed over to the redundant processor with its respective pipeline).

As per claim 2, Akroun teaches the digital data processing apparatus of claim 1, wherein said plurality of parallel pipelines comprises at least one redundant pipeline (column 4, line 65 – column 5, line 1).

As per claim 3, Akroun teaches the digital data processing apparatus of claim 2, wherein said plurality of parallel pipelines comprises N primary pipelines and a single redundant pipeline, said redundant pipeline providing redundant function in the event of failure of any single one of said N primary pipelines, where N is greater than 1 (column 4, line 65 – column 5, line 1).

As per claim 6, Akroun teaches the digital data processing apparatus of claim 1, wherein said at least one processor is a plurality of processors, said plurality of processors sharing at least one of said plurality of parallel pipelines (column 4, lines 40-41, 57 – column 5, line 5, wherein, all the processors share access to the same, single redundant processor).

As per claim 7, Akroun teaches the digital data processing apparatus of claim 6, wherein said plurality of processors shares a redundant pipeline of said plurality of parallel pipelines (column 4, lines 40-41, 57 – column 5, line 5, wherein, all the processors share access to the same, single redundant processor).

As per claim 9, Akroun teaches the digital data processing apparatus of claim 1, wherein said plurality of parallel pipelines perform arithmetic operations on floating point data (column 4, lines 24-27).

Art Unit: 2113

As per claim 10, Akroul teaches the digital data processing apparatus of claim 9, wherein said plurality of parallel pipelines perform arithmetic operations on mixed data, including floating point data and fixed point data (column 4, lines 24-27).

As per claim 11, Akroul teaches the digital data processing apparatus of claim 1, further comprising: a plurality of processors (column 4, lines 40-41); a memory storing instructions for execution on said plurality of processors; and at least one bus coupling said plurality of processors to said memory (column 3, lines 39-41).

As per claim 12, Akroul teaches a processor, comprising: a plurality of parallel pipelines, each pipeline of said plurality of parallel pipelines having the capability to perform a set of pre-defined functions on respective input data, said plurality of parallel pipelines including at least one redundant pipeline (column 3, lines 64-66; column 4, lines 40-41, 65 – column 5, lines 1); and control logic controlling the routing of data to said plurality of parallel pipelines, wherein said control logic, responsive to detection of a failure of a first pipeline of said plurality of parallel pipelines, causes data intended for processing by said first pipeline to be processed by a second pipeline of said plurality of parallel pipelines (column 5, lines 1-5).

As per claim 13, Akroul teaches the processor of claim 12, wherein said plurality of parallel pipelines comprises N primary pipelines and a single redundant pipeline, said redundant pipeline providing redundant function in the event of failure of any single one of said N primary pipelines, where N is greater than 1 (column 4, line 57 – column 5, line 1).

As per claim 17, Akroul teaches the processor of claim 12, wherein said plurality of parallel pipelines perform arithmetic operations on floating point data (column 4, lines 24-27).

Art Unit: 2113

As per claim 18, Akroun teaches the processor of claim 17, wherein said plurality of parallel pipelines perform arithmetic operations on mixed data, including floating point data and fixed point data (column 4, lines 24-27).

The applied reference has a common assignee with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 4-5, 14-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over Akroun in view of Dye U.S. Patent 6,412,061.

As per claims 4/14, Akroun teaches the digital data processing apparatus/processor of claim 1/12 (see claim 1/12 rejection). Akroun does not explicitly teach wherein said control logic comprises selection logic at one or more inputs to each respective pipeline, said selection logic controlling the selection between a primary source and a secondary source of pipeline data for

Art Unit: 2113

the respective pipeline. Dye does teach wherein said control logic comprises selection logic at one or more inputs to each respective pipeline, said selection logic controlling the selection between a primary source and a secondary source of pipeline data for the respective pipeline (column 3, lines 18-21, wherein, each pipeline with a respective data selector, selects either data from the memory or another element). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the selection logic of Dye with the parallel processing of Akroun. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to combine the selection logic of Dye with the parallel processing of Akroun because Dye teaches the need for improved pipeline/processor architecture to increase processor performance, especially in a graphics processor (column 2, lines 60-64); an explicit desire of Akroun (column 4, lines 39-44).

As per claims 5/15, Akroun teaches the digital data processing apparatus//processor of claim 4/14. Akroun does not explicitly teach wherein said selection logic is integrated with operand source selection logic for one or more stages of the respective pipeline. Dye does teach wherein said selection logic is integrated with operand source selection logic for one or more stages of the respective pipeline (column 3, lines 12-14, 29-48, wherein, each stage/latch can perform an operand function). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the selection logic of Dye with the parallel processing of Akroun. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to combine the selection logic of Dye with the parallel processing of Akroun because Dye teaches the need for improved pipeline/processor architecture to increase processor performance, especially in a graphics processor (column 2, lines 60-64); an explicit desire of Akroun (column 4, lines 39-44).



Art Unit: 2113

The applied reference has a common assignee with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art only under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) might be overcome by: (1) a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not an invention "by another"; (2) a showing of a date of invention for the claimed subject matter of the application which corresponds to subject matter disclosed but not claimed in the reference, prior to the effective U.S. filing date of the reference under 37 CFR 1.131; or (3) an oath or declaration under 37 CFR 1.130 stating that the application and reference are currently owned by the same party and that the inventor named in the application is the prior inventor under 35 U.S.C. 104, together with a terminal disclaimer in accordance with 37 CFR 1.321(c). This rejection might also be overcome by showing that the reference is disqualified under 35 U.S.C. 103(c) as prior art in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a). See MPEP § 706.02(l)(1) and § 706.02(l)(2).

#### ***Allowable Subject Matter***

5. Claims 8, 16 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### ***Conclusion***

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure: See attached PTO-892.



Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher S. McCarthy whose telephone number is (571)272-3651. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 9 - 5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Beausoliel can be reached on (571)272-3645. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.



Christopher S. McCarthy  
Examiner  
Art Unit 2113